## REMARKS

After the above amendments, claims 1 and 4-22 are pending. Claims 1, 12, and 20 are independent.

In the Office Action dated March 23, 2005, the Examiner objected to claims 3-10 and 12-19, but indicated that they would be allowable if rewritten into independent form. Applicant has amended claim 1 to incorporate the limitations of claim 3, and has rewritten claim 12 into independent form. Accordingly, these claims, as well as their dependent claims (4-10 and 13-19) are now allowable.

Applicant has also added new claims 20-22. Applicant believes that these claims are allowable. In particular, independent claim 20 recites "a movement prevention unit disposed on the deck chassis to prevent movement of the pole base assembly." The Examiner has cited connecting pin 37 and guide pin 38 of U.S. Patent No. 6,122,136 to Tomitaka as purportedly disclosing a movement prevention unit. Regardless of whether these elements are properly called a movement prevention unit, they are clearly not disposed on the deck chassis. Furthermore, there is no suggestion to move the pins 37 and 38 to the deck chassis, and it appears impractical to do so. Accordingly, independent claim 20, and dependent claims 21-22, are allowable.

Applicant has also taken the opportunity to collect a typographical error in the specification.

In view of the above, it is believed that the above-identified application is in condition for allowance, and notice to that effect is respectfully requested. Should the Examiner have any questions, the Examiner is encouraged to contact the undersigned at the telephone number indicated below.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: June 23, 2005



Daniel G. Shanley
Reg. No. 54,863
Attorney for Applicant

Roylance, Abrams, Berdo & Goodman, L.L.P.
1300 19th Street, N.W., Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20036-2680
Main: (202) 659-9076
Direct: (202)530-7372